Dedication: Major M. Goodman Maize Geneticist and Breeder

James B. Holland USDA-ARS Plant Science Research Unit Department of Crop Science Box 7620, North Carolina State University Raleigh, NC 27695–7620

Paul T. Nelson
Department of Crop Science
Box 7620, North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27695–7620

- I. BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH
- II. SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS
 - A. Maize Diversity and Classification
 - B. Isozymes
 - C. Maize Breeding
- III. SERVICE TO HUMANKIND
- IV. MENTOR AND COLLEAGUE
- V. PUBLICATIONS OF MAJOR GOODMAN LITERATURE CITED

Major M. Goodman is the leading expert on the classification and use of the diverse genetic resources of maize. He pioneered the development and use of mathematical approaches to classification of diverse plant materials; had a primary role in the development of one of the first comprehensive plant genetic marker systems; championed the maintenance, evaluation, and use of gene bank resources for crop improvement; and to this day conducts a very productive applied public maize breeding programs. His impact on the scientific community has increased as understanding of genetic diversity and its relationship with phenotypic diversity has become a major objective of large-scale plant genomics efforts (Buckler et al. 2006). Maize plays a key role in these efforts thanks in part to the groundwork that Major Goodman developed to elucidate and synthesize the relationships among the bewildering array of diverse maize seed resources. As former students of Dr. Goodman, we dedicate this chapter to an outstanding mentor and a model of scientific vigor and integrity on behalf of all of his colleagues and former students.

I. BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Major Goodman was born in 1938 in Des Moines, Iowa. He was raised in the small town of Johnston in a family of limited means. Fortunately for the plant breeding community, Johnston was and is the headquarters of the Pioneer Hi-Bred seed company, which was the first company to market hybrid maize seed and remains one of the largest seed companies in the world. When Major sought work as a young man, the largest employer in town was Pioneer Hi-Bred, and his brother was a foreman for a field crew. Thus, it was natural for Major to begin working in corn breeding nurseries as a high school student. As a temporary field worker, he quickly attracted the notice of Dr. William Brown, then director of research (later to be president) of Pioneer, who specialized in maize racial diversity, having written or contributed to three of the maize racial collection monographs (Brown 1960; Ramirez et al. 1961; Timothy et al. 1961) as well as coauthoring a history of early maize genetics and breeding with a former Vice President of the United States (Wallace and Brown 1988). Brown (1986) later wrote, "It was clear from my first contact with Dr. Goodman that he was an individual of unusual intellectual capacity and ability."

Supported by a National Merit Scholarship, Dr. Goodman attended Iowa State University, where he majored in mathematics. Major later wrote of his decision to continue studying plant genetics after graduating from college: "Clearly, I would not be here today had I not received the advice of W.L. Brown . . . to attend NC State and enroll in its outstanding quantitative genetics program" (Goodman 1987). Major obtained his M.S. degree in genetics at North Carolina State University (NCSU), studying quantitative genetic variability in an exotic maize population under the supervision of H. F. "Cotton" Robinson, who was well known for his work on the mating designs (North Carolina Designs I, II, and III) to estimate additive and dominant genetic variances in maize populations

(Comstock and Robinson 1952). Following this, according to Cockerham and Weir (1988), Major

worked on cotton for his Ph.D. with S. G. Stephens. His thesis involved a lot of theoretical and experimental work and laid the foundations for another long association. At this time Major developed a deep interest in the evolution of domestic plants, and wrote a monograph on the evolution of maize. The experiment station published the monograph, and it came to the attention of Paul Mangelsdorf at Harvard. At that time Mangelsdorf was the world authority on the evolution of maize, and quite a correspondence and debate started among Major, Stephens, and Mangelsdorf.

Although Major's monograph (Goodman 1965b) did not support Mangelsdorf's "Tripartite Hypothesis" of the evolution of maize from *Tripsacum*, Mangelsdorf appreciated Major's critical assessments of the maize evolution literature, as he later recalled: "I have known Major Goodman and his work since 1968, when I retired to Chapel Hill from Harvard University. His interest and encouragement were very important in helping me to continue my own lifetime research on corn. My book, *Corn, Its Origin, Evolution and Improvement*, published by Harvard University Press in 1974, acknowledges Major Goodman's critical assistance" (Mangelsdorf 1987). Although he never focused primarily on the maize evolution problem, Major's work contributed to this area by helping to define patterns of genetic variability in maize and teosinte, which has direct bearing on some of the evolutionary questions (Doebley 1990; Doebley et al. 1984).

Following the completion of his Ph.D. from NCSU, Major obtained a National Science Foundation Fellowship that supported his research on the races of maize at the Escola Superior de Agricultura in Piracicaba, Sao Paolo, Brazil, in collaboration with Drs. Almiro Blumenschein and Ernesto Paterniani. After two years of this fellowship, he returned to NCSU as a visiting professor in the Department of Statistics. This position was supported by a sizable grant from the National Institutes of Health to a Quantitative Genetics Research Program at NCSU headed by Dr. Clark Cockerham in the Department of Statistics:

Clark realized what an asset Major would be to our quantitative genetics program, and enticed Major back to Raleigh. The Genetics department was up to its ears (!) in quantitative geneticists, but fortunately the statistics department has an open door policy for almost anyone they could attract who didn't cost anything. The arrangement turned out to [be] ideal, and Major made considerable progress in his research in our department. (Cockerham and Weir 1988)

Major recalled of that period in his career:

the strong interdepartmental collaboration exemplified in [the NCSU Quantitative Genetics] program allowed me later, as a faculty member, to work on maize germplasm resources, taxonomy of the races of maize, and isoenzyme genetics, while housed in the Department of Statistics My own research would not even have been possible had it not been encouraged and supported by Dr. C. Clark Cockerham Even so, allowing a faculty member to stray so far afield from the "departmental missions" of the Department of Statistics must have required exceptional tolerance, even for an exceptionally tolerant department. (Goodman 1987)

Dr. Goodman was soon promoted to a permanent faculty position, becoming a full professor in 1976.

In 1983, Dr. Donald Thompson, the United States Department of Agriculture—Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) maize breeder located in the Department of Crop Science at North Carolina State University retired. The USDA planned to refocus this position on genetics, but the university desired to maintain a maize breeding program. Therefore, Dr. Goodman was offered a professorship in the Department of Crop Science in 1983, which he accepted. Although he moved from the Department of Statistics, he maintained a joint appointment with that department, as well as appointments with the Departments of Genetics and Botany. Today, Major Goodman remains the maize breeder in the Department of Crop Science and continues to lead the applied maize inbred line development program.

Major Goodman's career trajectory has been nontraditional, to say the least, among plant breeders. Yet his unique vision to understand the genetic variability in a crop species and exploit it for practical benefit has resulted in exemplary achievements. He has been rewarded for his outstanding research efforts with honors including election to the National Academy of Sciences in 1986; appointments as William Neal Reynolds Professor (the highest honor in the college of Agriculture and Life Sciences at NCSU) and Distinguished University Professor in 1988; the Frank N. Meyer Medal in 1999 and the Crop Science Research Award in 2005, both from the Crop Science Society of America; and the dedication of an issue of the journal *Maydica* to him in 2006.

II. SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS

A. Maize Diversity and Classification

In the 1940s, the Rockefeller Foundation and the Mexican Ministry of Agriculture initiated a program to collect varieties of maize throughout Mexico in a systematic fashion. The initial collections revealed tremendous phenotypic diversity among farmer-maintained landrace populations. This highlighted the need to collect landraces from other Latin American countries before they were replaced with improved cultivars and lost forever (Wellhausen et al. 1952). Thus, the Rockefeller Foundation promoted the collection of maize landraces throughout the rest of Latin America, which was undertaken in the 1940s and 1950s. Faced with the bewildering variety of maize types in these collections, scientists attempted to classify materials into races in the hope that racial identifications would accurately group related materials. Further, the hope was that classifications would lead to a better understanding of relationships between groups and insights into the historical evolution of maize under natural and artificial selection. The problem was not trivial. As Wellhausen et al. (1952) noted:

Frequently there are no sharp lines of demarcation between the varieties or races which compromise a cultivated species or genus....Since maize not only belongs to a single species but is also largely cross-fertilized, it offers more than the ordinary number of difficulties to the taxonomist. Hence, it is not surprising that the classification of maize, in spite of its importance, should have been so long neglected. Taxonomists who shun cultivated plants as not botanically important may actually be avoiding difficult problems not easily solved by traditional taxonomic methods. The variation in cultivated plants is frequently so bewildering that additional techniques including those of the geneticist, the cytologist, and the agronomist are needed to bring a semblance of order out of apparent chaos.

As a result of this challenge, Wellhausen et al. (1952) developed a plan to classify the races of maize using diverse sources of information on the material:

The classification of maize presented...has made use not only of the morphological characteristics of the ear, the tassel and the plant, but also of genetic, cytological, physiological and agronomic characteristics. Special consideration has been given to geographical distribution.

The collection and classification of the races of maize was a tremendous scientific achievement, resulting in the publication of the *Races of Maize* books for virtually every country or region of Latin America, mostly published by the National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council in Washington, DC. The systematic understanding of maize diversity, however, was hindered by the treatment of maize from each country or region as a separate problem. A different group of scientists worked on each country collection, although some authors

contributed to more than one study, including Dr. Goodman's mentor at Pioneer Hi-Bred, Dr. William Brown, and Dr. Goodman's future North Carolina State University colleague, Dr. David Timothy. Approaching the collections on a country-by-country basis made the undertaking logistically feasible, but hindered the systematic understanding of maize racial diversity across political boundaries, although there were attempts in the later studies to relate new collections to previously defined races. In addition, there was no formalized method to integrate the different traits observed when making the racial assignments. The most detailed example of how racial assignments were made was given by Ramirez et al. (1961) for Bolivian maize:

Ears were laid out on long tables...collections which looked similar were placed near each other on the same table, paying attention to color, texture, and size of grain; number of rows and size and shape of ear. It was immediately apparent that several of the races previously described... were present in the collections. The remaining races were worked out by distinctive combinations of form and color.... Work was continued until a preliminary classification had been worked out using only the appearance of the ears themselves. The notes on location and altitudes of the original collections were then consulted. This on the whole confirmed previous judgments but in one case it demonstrated that two somewhat similar races had been confused and in others that certain classifications made largely on slight color differences had ignored general similarities in form. When the racial composition of the varieties was provisionally worked out, as many selections from each race as possible were planted for study...the photographs of the typical ears were then carefully compared with the plants grown from them....In this way it was possible to follow what George Box calls the iterative process of discovery. Hypotheses based on a study of the ears were checked by an examination of the plants. Hypotheses based on plant study could be confirmed by examining the ears. On the basis of these observations new and more inclusive hypotheses could be formed. When a combination of characters which tended to go together was found it could be recognized and used in working out the racial composition of the varieties.

Clearly, the methods used were somewhat subjective. The authors of these reports recognized this fact and viewed the racial assignments as preliminary classifications. The subjective nature of classification, coupled with the highly regionalized focus, hindered not only within-country characterization but also the understanding of racial relationships among countries. As Bird and Goodman (1977) observed: "the systematics of maize have never been convincingly covered above the race level for the simple reason that many variables are needed simultaneously to resolve a significant amount of the variation." Goodman's

contribution to this area was to develop and implement statistical tools to quantify diversity and relationships among the collections. His earlier works on this subject are mostly technical, involving the development of the statistical machinery needed (Goodman 1968, 1972) and identification of those characters most appropriate for maize classification work (Goodman and Paterniani 1969). According to Bruce Weir (1986):

Major's early work was concerned with establishing statistical methodology for determining genetic distance between different populations and species.... In the Department of Statistics, Major was acknowledged as the local expert in multivariate statistics—no small feat for someone trained as a geneticist.

Based on this groundwork, Goodman then analyzed the higher-order relationships among the races of Latin America, resulting in the identification of groups of related races, termed *racial complexes* (Bird and Goodman 1977; Goodman and Bird 1977; Goodman and Brown 1988). These results clarified evolutionary hypotheses proposed by McClintock et al. (1981), shed light on pre- and post-Columbian migration of maize; and guided germplasm sampling efforts, both for the continued study of maize diversity (Remington et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2003), and for the improvement of U.S. maize hybrids (Goodman and Brown 1988; Holland and Goodman 1995).

B. Isozymes

Goodman, working in close collaboration with Dr. Charles Stuber of USDA-ARS at North Carolina State University, also pioneered the use of isozymes as tools for classifying maize germplasm, understanding relationships among germplasm sources, and laying the groundwork for marker-assisted selection in crops. Schwartz (1960) reported the first example of allelic variation in electrophoretic properties of an enzyme in maize, soon after which, maize became a model plant for isozyme studies. Goodman, Stuber, and coworkers were instrumental in this development by determining inheritance of several complexly inherited isozyme patters, such as malate dehydrogenase (MDH), which is coded by five loci, forming complex dimerization patterns among multiple alleles at these loci whose electrophoretic properties can also be altered by modifier loci (Goodman et al. 1980a, 1981; Goodman and Stuber 1983). According to Clark Cockerham (1986):

Dr. Goodman was discouraged from working on this system [MDH] by several people because everyone working with plant enzymes had tried

their hand with MDH and most had given up because of the complexity. His persistence and careful analysis, aided by the extreme variation available in his large collection, paid off....Dr. Goodman's success at identifying and clarifying the genetics of enzymes has received widespread attention.

Further, the maize isozyme group at NCSU localized isozymes on the maize genetic map and determined the variability at these loci across diverse samples of maize germplasm (Goodman et al. 1980b; Goodman and Stuber 1983). In many other plant species, the inheritance of isozyme banding patterns often was not well understood, resulting in complications in the analysis of diversity data. Because of their work on the inheritance of isozymes in maize, Goodman and Stuber were able to appropriately analyze the isozyme diversity data to establish relationships among diverse maize germplasm groups (Goodman 1978; Doebley et al. 1983, 1985, 1986; Goodman and Stuber 1983; Bretting et al. 1987, 1990; Smith 1988; Sanchez et al. 2000, 2006). Conversely, by studying diverse germplasm, they discovered rare isozyme alleles that helped to sort out the allelism patterns of the isozyme bands (Goodman et al. 1980a; Goodman and Stuber 1980, 1983; Stuber and Goodman 1982).

The isozyme surveys of Latin American germplasm were helpful in further refining the understanding of the classification and relationships of maize races. Dr. Goodman is skeptical that isozyme information alone is adequate for classification purposes, in part because of the limited number of loci, but he suggests that isozyme data in conjunction with morphological and ecological data provide reasonable estimates of the genetic relationships among materials (M.M. Goodman, pers. comm.; Bretting et al. 1987; Sanchez et al. 2000, 2006). This work also paved the way for a continuing series of studies on the relationships among maize germplasm collections performed with increasingly powerful genetic marker systems, from simple sequence repeats (Senior et al. 1998; Remington et al. 2001; Matsuoka et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2003; Vigouroux 2008) to single nucleotide polymorphisms (Nelson et al. 2008). In addition, Dr. Stuber, in collaboration with Dr. Goodman, used isozymes for the first studies aimed at developing marker-assisted selection as a breeding methodology for maize (Stuber et al. 1982; Frei et al. 1986). Dr. Stuber continued to emphasize this aspect of genetic markers in his research program, resulting in many of the key studies to establish quantitative trait locus mapping and marker-assisted selection for quantitative traits as important tools for modern plant breeders (Edwards et al. 1987; Stuber 1992).

C. Maize Breeding

Dr. Goodman's move from the Department of Statistics to the Department of Crop Science to replace Dr. Thompson as maize breeder in 1983 allowed Dr. Goodman to shift the primary focus of his research from classification of maize germplasm to its practical use in plant breeding. This move also provided Dr. Goodman, for the first time in his career, the help of a full-time technical assistant, in this case the dedicated and talented Mr. William Hill. Dr. Goodman attributes much of the success of his program to the assistance provided by Bill Hill. In addition, Dr. Goodman inherited a breeding program with a diverse set of adapted inbred lines and breeding populations from Dr. Thompson. To this solid foundation, Dr. Goodman introduced additional tropical maize germplasm sources to create the most genetically diverse public maize breeding program in the United States. Dr. Goodman has noted the irony that, although he obtained his PhD at North Carolina State University, he took no courses in plant breeding and yet now runs an applied breeding program. Recalling his early years working at Pioneer Hi-Bred as a teenager, however, the past 25 years of his career seem a fitting return to his first interest in maize breeding.

Today, the NCSU maize breeding program is one of the few remaining public maize breeding programs in the United States that is actively releasing inbred lines. Since 1980, 150 NC inbreds have been developed and released on the basis of superior performance for topcross yield or other agronomic traits (Table 1.1). During this time there has also been great emphasis placed on breeding with exotic germplasm, with 86 NC

Table 1.1. Inbred lines released by North Carolina State University maize breeding program, their pedigrees, and year of release.

Line Name	$Pedigree^{z}$	Release Year	
Mo44 ^y	Mo22 × Mexican Synthetic 17		
NC250	Nigerian Composite $ARb \times B37^2$	1983	
NC250A	Nigerian Composite ARb × B37 ²	1991	
NC252	$Pa91 \times B73^3$	1986	
NC254	$Pa91 \times B73^3$	1986	
NC256	$Pa91 \times B73^3$	1986	
NC258	$[(NC246 \times NC248) \times C103] \times$	1986	
	$(McNair 14 \times McNair 18)^2$		
NC258A	$[(NC246 \times NC248) \times C103] \times$	1994	
	$(McNair 14 \times McNair 18)^2$		
NC260	$Mo44^4 \times Mo17$	1988	
NC262	McNair 14 × McNair 18	1986	
NC262A	McNair 14 × McNair 18	1989	
		(continued)	

Table 1.1. (Continued)

NC262B McNair 14 × McNair 18 NC264 (SC76 × Gaspé²) × SC76³ NC266 NC250 × B73² NC266A NC250 × B73² NC266B NC250 × B73² NC268 NC250 × B73²	1994 1987 1987 1989 1991 1987 1991
$\begin{array}{lll} NC266 & NC250 \times B7\bar{3}^2 \\ NC266A & NC250 \times B7\bar{3}^2 \\ NC266B & NC250 \times B7\bar{3}^2 \\ NC268 & NC250 \times B7\bar{3}^2 \\ \end{array}$	1987 1989 1991 1987 1991 1987
$\begin{array}{lll} NC266A & NC250 \times B73^2 \\ NC266B & NC250 \times B73^2 \\ NC268 & NC250 \times B73^2 \end{array}$	1989 1991 1987 1991 1987
	1991 1987 1991 1987
NC268 $NC250 \times B73^2$	1987 1991 1987
	1991 1987
	1987
NC268A NC250 \times B73 ²	
NC270 NC250 \times B73	1000
NC270A NC250 \times B73	1989
NC272 ETO Blanco \times B73-Gaspé ²	1987
NC274 $NC250 \times B73^3$	1988
NC276 $NC244 \times B73^2$	1988
NC278 ETO Blanco \times B73-Gaspé ²	1988
NC278A ETO Blanco \times B73-Gaspé ²	1989
NC280 $Pa91 \times B73^3$	1989
NC282 $Pa91 \times B73^3$	1989
NC284 $Pa91 \times B73^3$	1989
NC286 $[(NC246 \times NC248) \times C103] \times$	1989
$(McNair 14 \times McNair 18)^2$	
NC288 $[(NC246 \times NC248) \times C103] \times$	1989
(McNair 14 × McNair 18)	
NC290 McNair 14 × McNair 18	1989
NC290A McNair 14 × McNair 18	1996
NC292 $NC250 \times B73^4$	1989
NC294 $NC250 \times B73^2$	1989
NC296 $H5 \times PHX105A$	1990
NC296A $H5 \times PHX105A$	1991
NC298 AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)	1991
NC300 $(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A$	1991
NC302 $H101 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1994
NC304 $H101 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1994
NC306 NC250 \times B73 ²	1994
NC308 $NC250 \times B73^2$	1994
NC310 $NC250 \times B73^4$	1997
NC312 $NC250 \times B73^2$	1994
NC314 NC250 \times B73	1994
NC316 $NC250 \times B73^4$	1994
NC318 $B52 \times SC76^4$	1994
NC320 B52 \times SC76 ⁴	1994
NC322 $B52 \times SC76^4$	1996
NC324 NC250 \times B73	1996
NC326 NC250 \times B73 ⁴	1996
NC328 $NC250 \times B73^4$	1996
NC330 NC250 \times B73 ⁵	1996
NC332 $B52 \times SC76^4$	1997
NC334 B52 \times SC76 ⁴	1997
NC336 H5 × PHX105A	1997

 Table 1.1. (Continued)

Line Name	$Pedigree^{z}$	Release Year	
NC338	$(PHX304A \times AG504) \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1997	
NC340	$[PHX306B \times H5] \times PHX105A$	1997	
NC342	McNair 14 × McNair 18	1997	
NC344	$[(\text{NC}246 \times \text{NC}248) \times \text{C}103] \times$	1998	
	$(McNair 14 \times McNair 18)^2$		
NC346	$H5 \times PHX105A$	1998	
NC348	$AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1998	
NC350	$H101 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1998	
NC352	$H5 \times PHX105A$	1998	
NC354	$(PHX304A \times H101) \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	1998	
NC356	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	1999	
NC358	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	1999	
NC360	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	1999	
NC362	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	1999	
NC364	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	1999	
NC366	FSHmR	1999	
NC368	$(NC250 \times B73) \times (NC250 \times B73^2)$	1999	
NC370	$B52 \times SC76^4$	1999	
NC372	$Pa91 \times B73^3$	1999	
NC374	$(NC250 \times B73) \times (NC250 \times B73^2)$	2001	
NC376	$(NC250 \times B73) \times (NC250 \times B73^2)$	2001	
NC378	[((Gaspé × Va35 ²) × (Gaspé × Va35)) FSHmR ³] ×	2001	
110070	[B73 × (PH306B × PHX105A)]	2001	
NC380	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2001	
NC382	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2001	
NC384	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2001	
NC386	$NC258 \times NC296$	2001	
NC388	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	2001	
NC390	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	2001	
NC392	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	2001	
NC394	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	2001	
NC396	$H101 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	2001	
NC398	$[(AG155 \times H5 \times PHX105A)] \times$	2002	
	$(AG155 \times PHX105A)$		
NC400	$[AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)] \times$	2002	
	$[(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A]$		
NC400A	$[AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)] \times$	unreleased	
	$[(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A]$		
NC402	$NC258 \times NC296$	2002	
NC404	$NC258 \times NC296$	2002	
NC406	$B52 \times SC76^4$	2002	
NC408	$B52 \times SC76^4$	2002	
NC410	$NC262A \times [AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$	2002	
NC412	$NC262A \times [AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$	2002	
NC414	$NC262A \times [AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$	2002	
NC416	$NC262A \times [(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A]$	2002	
NC418	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
110110	TOBOL A (HOTOU ATTINIOUT)	(continued)	
		(commuea)	

Table 1.1. (Continued)

Line Name	Pedigree ^z	Release Year	
NC420	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
NC422	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
NC424	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
NC426	$NC258^2 \times NC296$	2002	
NC428	$NC258^2 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
NC430	$(NC250 \times B73) \times (NC250 \times B73^{3})$	2002	
NC432	$Pa91 \times B73^3$	2002	
NC434	$B52 \times SC76^4$	2002	
NC436	$NC258 \times NC296$	2002	
NC438	$NC258 \times NC338$	2002	
NC440	$NC296^4 \times B73$ Purple	2002	
NC442	$NC258 \times NC296^4$	2002	
NC444	$NC258 \times NC296^4$	2002	
NC446	$KU2301 \times NC296^4$	2002	
NC448	$H5 \times PHX105A$	2002	
NC450	$NC296 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2002	
NC452	$NC304 \times NC296$	2002	
NC454	$[AG155 \times (PHX306B \times PHX105A)] \times$	2002	
	$[AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$		
NC456	$PHIJ100 \times PHX304C$	2002	
NC458	$KU2301 \times PM703$	2002	
NC460	$[AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)] \times NC296$	2005	
NC462	NC304 × NC296	2005	
NC464	$NC348 \times [(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A]$	2005	
NC466	$[AG155 \times (PHX306B \times PHX105A)] \times$	2005	
	$[AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$		
NC468	TROPHY Low Moisture C8	2005	
NC470	$NC296^2 \times B73 \text{ Purple}$	2005	
NC472	$NC268 \times NC300$	2005	
NC474	$NC268 \times [(PHX306B \times H5) \times PHX105A]$	2005	
NC476	$NC262 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$	2005	
NC478	$NC262A \times [AG155 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)]$	2005	
NC480	NC258 × NC296	2005	
NC482	$NC258 \times NC296$	2005	
NC484	$NC258 \times NC296$	2005	
NC486	$NC258 \times NC296$	2005	
NC488	$NC258 \times NC296$	2005	
NC490	$NC258 \times NC296$	2005	
NC492	NC258 × NC296	2005	
NC494	$NC258 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	2005	
NC496	$NC258^2 \times NC296$	2005	
NC498	$NC258^2 \times (H5 \times PHX105A)$	2006	
NC500	NC258 × NC296	2006	
NC502	NC258 × NC296	2006	
NC504	NC258 × NC296	2006	
NC506	NC258 × NC296	2006	
NC508	TROPHY Low Moisture C8 ×	2006	
5000	(H5 × PHX105A)	2000	

Line Name Pedigree^z Release Year NC510 TROPHY Low Moisture C8 × 2006 $(H5 \times PHX105A)$ NC512 $QK37 \times (AG155 \times PHX105A)$ Pending $NC258 \times NC296^3$ NC514 Pending NC516 $NC258 \times NC296$ Pending NC518 NC258 × NC296 Pending NC520 $NC258 \times NC296$ Pending Pending NC522 $NC258 \times NC296$

Table 1.1. (Continued)

inbreds having at least 50% exotic parentage and 40 of those having all-tropical parentage. The NCSU maize breeding germplasm represents a potentially useful resource for maize improvement and diversity in the United States. Although detailed pedigree records for all of the NC lines have been kept, they have never been described systematically. We believe that part of Dr. Goodman's legacy will be this set of lines developed from his program (and in some cases derived directly from Dr. Thompson's program). Therefore, we include here a description of the NCSU maize breeding line releases to promote their evaluation and use by other breeding and genetics programs.

Breeding efforts in the NCSU maize breeding program are focused primarily on five general germplasm pools (Fig. 1.1): (1) Lancaster, (2) Temperate-Adapted All Tropical (TAAT), (3) Lancaster \times Tropical, (4) Stiff Stalk, and (5) Southern Non-Stiff Stalk. The Lancaster germplasm pool was initiated by Dr. D.L. Thompson, although most of the line releases from this pool came after his retirement. This material is heavily influenced by C103, primarily through the single-cross McNair 14 \times McNair 18. While the exact pedigrees of McNair 14 and 18 are not known, they are believed to be at least 50% C103. One of the key lines in this group, NC258, is arguably the last public inbred to be widely used in commercial seed production in the southern United States.

The TAAT germplasm pool (Fig. 1.1) primarily traces to these seven double-cross tropical hybrids: Agroceres 155; Agroceres 504, H5, H101; and Pioneer X105A, X304A, X306B (Table 1.1). These hybrids were used in various combinations and are present in the pedigrees of 86 NC lines. Holley and Goodman (1989) reported the development from these crosses of the first inbred lines of pure tropical origin adapted to temperate U.S.

^zAbbreviations: Pioneer (PH), Agroceres (AG), Gaspé Flint (Gaspé), Florida Synthetic (FSHmR). The order of parents in pedigree does not necessarily reflect their use as male or female parent unless one parent is known to carry a gametophyte factor, in which case the first parent listed was the female parent.

^yMo44 was a joint release between North Carolina and Missouri.



Fig. 1.1. Unbranched phylogeny of 146 NC inbred lines, 23 founders to the NC lines, and 11 common temperate lines (as points of reference) based on the shared allele distance among 632 single nucleotide polymorphisms and clustered with a UPGMA algorithm (Nelson 2009). Germplasm groups to which lines are assigned are given unique shapes.

maize-growing conditions. Uhr and Goodman (1995) reported the development of second-cycle inbreds (derived from intermating selected lines from the original set of matings) from this program. These TAAT lines represent a major breakthrough for a public maize breeding program: the creation of a unique heterotic group with high yield potential for use in combination with traditional U.S. Corn Belt Dent germplasm (Tallury and Goodman, 1999; Goodman 2004). The TROPHY (Tropical Hybrid) synthetics were formed by intermating descendants of intercrosses among the seven double-cross tropical hybrids. The TROPHY Elite and TROPHY

Composite populations are currently in their 6th and 10th cycles of selection, respectively, for yield and other traits of agronomic importance (Jines 2007). The TROPHY Low Moisture Composite was subjected to eight cycles of selection for low harvest grain moisture from 1984 to 1989 (Hawbaker and Goodman 1997); nine NC lines have been derived from this population. Lines from the tropical germplasm pool combine well with either Lancaster or Stiff Stalk lines.

The Lancaster \times Tropical germplasm pool (Fig. 1.1) was formed through intermating lines from the tropical and Lancaster germplasm pools, and encompasses 45 NC lines. The most common pedigrees in this pool are NC258 \times NC296 (the latter, a first-cycle TAAT line) and NC262 \times (Agroceres 155 \times Pioneer X105A). Many of the NC262 \times tropical lines are described in Lewis and Goodman (2003). The NC258 \times NC296 lines were described in the Ph.D. thesis of Molnár (2002). Lines from this germplasm pool combine well with Stiff Stalk lines.

The Stiff Stalk pool (Fig. 1.1) was initiated by Dr. D.H. Thompson, but most of the line releases were made after his retirement. Two Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic-derived lines, B37and B73, are the key founders of this pool. B37 is a parent of NC250 [Nigerian Composite ARb × B37²]. NC250 and B73 were used as parents in the development of 24 NC lines, many of which were derived through multiple backcrosses to B73. NC250 confers resistance to southern leaf blight (*Bipolaris maydis*) and gray leaf spot (*Cercospora zeae-maydis*) while B73 provides superior yield and lodging resistance. B73 was also used as a backcross recurrent parent with donor line Pa91 in the development of eight NC lines that exhibit the superior agronomic characteristics of B73 and good seed quality and general plant health from Pa91.

The Southern Non-Stiff Stalk germplasm pool (Fig. 1.1) is composed primarily of 10 NC lines that were derived from SC76 in combination with B52 (a source of virus resistance) and Gaspé Flint (a source of earliness). The B52 × SC76⁴ lines were all derived from a common BC2 parent from populations formed by Dr. Al Manweller at the University of South Carolina. Lines from the Southern Non-Stiff Stalk germplasm pool combine well with either Stiff Stalk or Lancaster lines.

Together, the NC inbred lines released by Dr. Goodman represent a unique combination of diverse, exotic germplasm, good disease resistance, and good yield potential in combination with U.S. germplasm. Furthermore, the development and release of the tropical-derived inbred lines is a practical demonstration of the utility of exotic maize germplasm for U.S. maize improvement. Dr. Goodman has guided this program for over 40 years from its inception as a problem in botanical taxonomy to its ultimate goal of improving U.S. maize while

simultaneously diversifying its genetic base to provide the raw material for continued gains from selection and to safeguard against vulnerabilities caused by a narrow germplasm base.

III. SERVICE TO HUMANKIND

During his research on the Latin American races of maize in the 1960s and 1970s, Dr. Goodman came to realize, perhaps better than anyone, the problems and limitations of the public maize germplasm collections. He made a systematic study of the availability of the collections originally made by the Rockefeller Foundation Program in the 1940s and 1950s in Latin America (the basis for the *Races of Maize* monographs) and found that many of the collections had been lost (Goodman 1984). Two of the Latin American gene banks that had housed most of the Latin American collections had been closed down, backup collections sent to the USDA had been discarded, and maintenance at the remaining Latin American facility in Mexico was poor (Timothy and Goodman 1979; Goodman 1984). As a result, many collections were lost.

Dr. Goodman's pointed critiques of the national and global germplasm collections demonstrated clearly a problem that had previously not been brought to the attention of the larger maize breeding and genetics community. He went beyond identifying the problem, however, and spearheaded a USDA-sponsored "major effort to regenerate, preserve, and evaluate the 25,000 or so, collections of maize stored in seed banks in several Latin American countries," according to Charlie Stuber (1986). Stuber continued:

This may sound like a simple project. However, the problems associated with political situations in Latin American countries, the logistics of transporting research supplies from the U.S. to Latin America, and transporting seed from Latin America have frequently been nearly insurmountable. Because of Dr. Goodman's commitment to the maintenance and preservation of these valuable genetic resources, his efforts have required numerous trips to Latin America and many ingenious methods to accomplish the job. I know of no other person in the U.S. who would be willing to devote the time and effort to this project as Dr. Goodman has done and continues to do.

Largely on the basis of his efforts to rescue the many endangered Latin American maize collections, in 1987 Dr. Goodman was awarded the O. Max Gardner Award, which recognizes faculty among all University of North Carolina system campuses who have "made the greatest contributions to the welfare of the human race."

The germplasm rescue project was a major success and has led to a substantially improved maize germplasm collection maintained by the USDA as well as improvements in other international and national collections. Further, this project led to the Latin American Maize Project (LAMP), which was guided substantially by Dr. Goodman, and involved the evaluation of Latin American racial accessions in the nations in which they were collected and exchange of collections for evaluation across countries (Salhuana et al. 1998). Beginning in 1994, after the Latin American Maize Project was completed, a unique cooperative project involving private industry, USDA, and state university researchers was initiated to incorporate germplasm from the superior Latin American accessions into elite, commercial Corn Belt Dent genetic backgrounds. This project, named the Germplasm Enhancement of Maize (GEM) project, continues today and has a primary objective of enhancing the genetic base of U.S. maize by developing maize lines that possess unique alleles from exotic germplasm sources in well-adapted genetic backgrounds to make them immediately useful to commercial breeding programs in the United States (Pollak and Salhuana 2001). In addition to the maize inbred line development program already described, Dr. Goodman directed the GEM project at NCSU, resulting in the release of numerous superior inbred lines containing significant amounts of exotic germplasm (Balint-Kurti et al. 2006; Carson et al. 2006). In our opinion, it is hard to imagine that programs remotely resembling the LAMP and GEM projects would have been initiated without the leadership provided by Dr. Goodman. More recently, Major Goodman was a co-organizer of the first meeting of the Maize Germplasm Network, a network of maize gene banks, sponsored by the Global Crop Diversity Trust, the World Bank, and CIMMYT (Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo), with the goal of ensuring the maintenance and availability of precious maize germplasm resources by long-term financial commitments from donor agencies.

IV. MENTOR AND COLLEAGUE

In addition to his groundbreaking work to rescue, study, and make a valuable genetic resource of tropical maize germplasm, Dr. Goodman will leave an important legacy as a mentor of graduate students and postdoctoral researchers as well as an unusually helpful colleague to many maize researchers. As students of Major, we personally have witnessed his incredible dedication to maize research, the care he takes to ensure data are of the highest possible quality, his rigorous criticism of his own research, and his tremendous generosity of time and attention

paid to other researchers who seek his advice. It is not unusual even today for a steady stream of visitors to come to his lab to talk to him about statistical analyses, plant breeding, maize germplasm, and maize evolution. Although this usually prevents him from having uninterrupted time to pursue his own research, he never fails to go out of his way to provide assistance.

Major had only one experience as a formal course instructor, for multivariate statistics in the 1970s. Nevertheless, he spent a large amount of time teaching graduate students, usually in a very practical setting, such as the corn breeding nursery or while shelling selected ears. His method of teaching involves assigning each graduate student responsibility for some portion of the breeding nursery and giving each creative freedom to evaluate and select materials. He has an ability to guide a graduate student's career while allowing for maximum freedom in discovery and pursuing individual interests. Working by Major's side in the field and discussing breeding philosophy, objectives, and methods was often our most rewarding educational experience in graduate school. Another lesson learned early on from Major was a healthy dose of skepticism for one's own breeding materials. Although Major was convinced early in his career of the value of exotic maize germplasm, he has always cast a cold eye on his own inbred lines. For example, he taught us never to put too much trust in yield trial data from only one year or in the visual appearance of an inbred line. He is honest and sincere in his assessment of performance and potential, and hidden within his outwardly pessimistic sarcasm is a deep sense of optimism. Major's comments on his own germplasm have provided some classic examples of his darkly ironic sense of humor. We have all heard him call breeding material "good stuff," but only in reference to those ears that were being discarded or plants being eliminated from the breeding nursery. In contrast, he refers to most of his released inbred lines as "trash"!

The value of Major's training of students and postdoctoral researchers can be judged from the results. Numerous former students have gone to work in private seed industry, where they hold critical positions for breeding research and germplasm work. In fact, much of today's private sector effort to diversify the germplasm base of commercial hybrids is led by former students of Dr. Goodman. Others hold public sector positions in plant breeding and plant genetics, including one member of the National Academy of Sciences (John Doebley), three faculty members at NCSU, and the USDA-ARS National Program Leader for crop genetic resources. Finally, several students hold positions at universities, national programs, and breeding companies in other countries, including Mexico, France, and Colombia.

Major is well known for his penetrating insight into scientific questions, and he applies a similarly critical approach to his own work as well as that from other laboratories. Mangelsdorf (1983) wrote, "To me one of Dr. Goodman's most admirable qualities is his scrupulous intellectual honesty which compels him to be as critical of his own work as that of others." Similarly, Bruce Weir (1986) wrote: "I have found Major to be a stimulating and cooperative colleague over the past ten years. He has always been willing to share his statistical expertise, and has often given valuable criticism of my own work. I greatly respect his judgment." What we and many other of his students and colleagues have been lucky to observe is the incredibly kind and generous man behind the well-known skeptical scientist, who has gone beyond providing scientific training and advice and supported us in many ways beyond the professional.

V. PUBLICATIONS OF MAJOR GOODMAN

- Goodman, M.M. 1965a. Estimates of genetic variance in adapted and exotic populations of maize. Crop Sci., 5:87–90.
- Goodman, M.M. 1965b. The history and origin of maize. Current theories on the relationships between maize and some of its relatives. North Carolina Agr. Expt. Station Tech. Bul. 170.
- Goodman, M.M. 1966. Correlation and the structure of introgressive populations. Evolution 20:191–203.
- Goodman, M.M. 1967a. The identification of hybrid plants in segregating populations. Evolution 21:334–340.
- Goodman, M.M. 1967b. The races of maize: I. The use of Mahalanobis' generalized distances to measure morphological similarity. Fitotecnia Latinoamericana 4:1–22.
- Goodman, M.M. 1968a. A measure of "overall variability" in populations. Biometrics 24:189–192.
- Goodman, M.M. 1968b. The races of maize: II. Use of multivariate analysis of variance to measure morphological similarity. Crop Sci. 8:693–698. [Reprinted in 1975 as pp. 97–102. In: W. R. Atchley and E. H. Bryant (eds.), Multivariate statistical methods: Among-groups covariation. Benchmark papers in systematic and evolutionary biology 1. Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.]
- Goodman, M.M. 1969. Measuring evolutionary divergence. Japanese Journal of Genetics 44 (Suppl. 1):310-316.
- Goodman, M.M., and E. Paterniani. 1969. The races of maize: III. Choices of appropriate characters for racial classification. Economic Botany 23:265–273.
- Goodman, M.M. 1972. Distance analysis in biology. Systematic Zoology 21:174–186. [Reprinted in 1975 as pp. 377–389. W. R. Atchley and E. H. Bryant (eds.), Multivariate statistical methods: Among-groups covariation. Benchmark papers in systematic and evolutionary biology 1. Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.]
- Stevenson, J.C., and M.M. Goodman. 1972. Ecology of exotic races of maize. I. Leaf number and tillering of 16 races under four temperatures and two photoperiods. Crop Sci. 12:864–868.

- Goodman, M.M. 1973. Genetic distances: Measuring dissimilarity among populations. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology 17:1–38.
- Goodman, M.M. 1974. Numerical aids in taxonomy. pp. 485–500. In: A.E. Radford, W.C. Dickison, J.R. Massey, and C.R. Bell (eds.), Vascular plant systematics. Harper & Row, New York.
- Goodman, M.M. 1976. Maize. pp. 128–136. In: N. W. Simmonds (ed.), Evolution of crop plants. Longman, New York.
- Bird, R.McK., and M.M. Goodman. 1977. The races of maize. V. Grouping maize races on the basis of ear morphology. Econ. Bot. 31:471–481.
- Brown, W.L., and M.M. Goodman. 1977. Races of corn. p. 49–88. In: G. F. Sprague (ed.), Corn and corn improvement. Am. Soc. Agron, Madison, WI.
- Goodman, M.M., and R.McK. Bird. 1977. The races of maize. IV. Tentative grouping of 219 Latin American races. Econ. Bot. 31:204–221.
- Hussaini, S.H., M.M. Goodman, and D.H. Timothy. 1977. Multivariate analysis and the geographical distribution of the world collection of finger millet. Crop Sci. 17:257–263.
- Paterniani, E., and M.M. Goodman. 1977. Races of maize in Brazil and adjacent areas. CIMMYT, Mexico City.
- Stuber, C.W., M.M. Goodman, and F.M. Johnson. 1977. Genetic control and racial variation of β-glucosidase isozymes in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Biochem. Gen. 15:383–394.
- Cervantes Santana, T., M.M. Goodman, and E. Casas Diaz. 1978. Efectos geneticos y de interaccion genotipo-ambiente en la clasificacion de razas Mexicanas de maiz. Agrociencia 31:25–43.
- Cervantes Santana, T., M.M. Goodman, E. Casas Diaz, and J.O. Rawlings. 1978. Use of genetic effects and genotype by environmental interactions for the classification of Mexican races of maize. Genetics 90:339–348.
- Goodman, M.M. 1978a. A brief survey of the races of maize and current attempts to infer racial relationships. pp. 143–158. In: D.B. Walden (ed.), Maize breeding and genetics. Wiley, New York.
- Goodman, M.M. 1978b. History and origin of corn. pp. 1–31. In: E. Paterniani (ed.), Melhoramento e produção do milho no Brasil. Fundação Cargill, Piracicaba, SP, Brasil.
- Goodman, M.M., and J. Stephen C. Smith. 1978. Botany. pp. 32–70. In: Melhoramento e produção do milho no Brasil. Fundação Cargill, Piracicaba, SP, Brasil.
- Castillo-Morales, A., and M.M. Goodman. 1979. The least squares tree for a four points distance matrix. Classification Society Bul. 4:5–13.
- Timothy, D.H. and M.M. Goodman. 1979. Germplasm preservation: The basis of future feast or famine. Genetic resources of maize—An example. pp. 171–200. In: I. Rubenstein, R. L. Phillips, C. E. Green and B. G. Gengenbach (eds.), The plant seed: development, preservation, and germination. Academic Press, New York.
- Cardy, B.J., C.W. Stuber, and M.M. Goodman. 1980. Techniques for starch gel electrophoresis of enzymes from maize (*Zea mays* L.). Institute of Statistics Mimeograph Series No. 1317, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.
- Goodman, M.M., and C.W. Stuber. 1980. Genetic identification of lines and crosses using isoenzyme electrophoresis. Corn and Sorghum Industry Res. Conf. Proc. 35:10–31.
- Goodman, M.M., C.W. Stuber, C.N. Lee, and F.M. Johnson. 1980a. Genetic control of malate dehydrogenase isozymes in maize. Genetics 94:153–168.
- Goodman, M.M., C.W. Stuber, K. Newton, and H.H. Weissinger. 1980b. Linkage relationships of 19 enzyme loci in maize. Genetics 96:697–710.
- Stuber, C.W., R.H. Moll, M.M. Goodman, H.E. Schaffer, and B.S. Weir. 1980. Allozyme frequency changes associated with selection for increased grain yield in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Genetics 95:225–236.

- Goodman, M.M., K.J. Newton, and C.W. Stuber. 1981. Malate dehydrogenase: Viability of cytosolic nulls and lethality of mitochondrial nulls in maize. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 78:1783–1785.
- Smith, J.S.C., and M.M. Goodman. 1981. A comparison of chromosome knob frequencies between sympatric and allopatric populations of teosinte and maize. Am. J. Bot. 68:947–954.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and R.N. Lester. 1981. Variation within teosinte. I. Numerical analysis of morphological data. Econ. Bot. 35:187–203.
- Goodman, M.M. 1982. Maize retraced cytogenetically. (Book review). Science 216:871–872. Goodman, M.M., C.W. Stuber, and K.J. Newton. 1982. Isozyme loci in maize. pp. 53–60. In: William F. Sheridan (ed.), Maize for biological research. Plant Mol. Biol. Assoc., Charlottesville, VA.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and T.A. Kato Y. 1982. Variation within teosinte. II. Numerical analysis of chromosome knob data. Econ. Bot, 36:100–112.
- Stuber, C.W., M.M. Goodman, and R.H. Moll. 1982. Improvement of yield and ear number resulting from selection at allozyme loci in a maize population. Crop Sci. 22:737–740.
- Weissinger, A.K., D.H. Timothy, C.S. Levings III, W.W.L. Hu, and M.M. Goodman. 1982. Unique plasmid-like mitochondrial DNAs from indigenous maize races of Latin America. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 79:1–5.
- Brown, W.L., T.T. Chang, M.M. Goodman, and Q. Jones (eds.). 1983. Conservation of crop germplasm: An international perspective. Special Publ. 8. Crop Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, WI.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman and C.W. Stuber. 1983. Isozyme variation in maize from the southwestern United States: Taxonomic and anthropological implications. Maydica 28:97–120.
- Goodman, M.M. 1983. Racial diversity in maize. pp. 29–40. In: D.T. Gordon, J.K. Knoke, L. R. Nault, and R.M. Ritter (eds.), Proc. Intl. Maize Virus Disease Colloquium and Workshop. Ohio State Univ., Ohio Agr. Res, Develop. Center, Wooster, OH.
- Goodman, M.M., and C.W. Stuber. 1983a. Maize. pp. 1–33. In: S.D. Tanksley and T.J. Orton (eds.), Isozymes in plant genetics and breeding. Vol. 1B. Elsevier Scientific Publ., Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Goodman, M.M., and C.W. Stuber. 1983b. Races of maize. VI. Isozyme variation among races of maize in Bolivia. Maydica 28:169–187.
- Martinez, W.O.J., M.M. Goodman, and D.H. Timothy. 1983. Measuring racial differentiation in maize using multivariate distance measures standardized by variation in F_2 populations. Crop Sci. 23:775–781.
- Stuber, C.W., and M.M. Goodman. 1983a. Allozyme genotypes for popular and historically important inbred lines of corn. U.S. Agr. Res. Ser., Southern Series 16, New Orleans.
- Stuber, C.W., and M.M. Goodman. 1983b. Inheritance, intracellular localization, and genetic variation of phosphoglucomutase isozymes in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Biochem. Gen. 21:667–689.
- Timothy, D.H., C.S. Levings III, W.W.L. Hu, and M.M. Goodman. 1983. Plasmid-like mitochondrial DNAs in diploperennial teosinte. Maydica 28:139–149.
- Weissinger, A.K., D.H. Timothy, C.S. Levings III, W.W.L. Hu, and M.M. Goodman. 1983. Patterns of mitochondrial DNA variation in indigenous maize races of Latin America. Genetics 104:365–379.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1984. Isoenzymatic variation in Zea (Gramineae). Syst. Bot. 9:203–218.
- Goodman, M.M. 1984a. The diversity of crop plants. (Book review). Quart. Rev. Biol 59:365.
 Goodman, M.M. 1984b. An evaluation and critique of current germplasm programs. pp. 195–249. In: 1983 Plant Breeding Research Forum Report. Pioneer Hi-Bred Intl., Des Moines, IA.

- Goodman, M.M. 1984c. Evaluation of exotics. Illinois Corn Breeders School Report 20:85–100. Champaign, IL.
- Goodman, M.M., and F. Castillo G. 1984. Conservacion y evaluacion de racas de maiz en America Latina. pp. 67–84. In: Proc. II reunion Latinoamericana del maiz. ICA, Cali, Colombia.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1984. Variation within teosinte. III. Numerical analysis of allozyme data. Econ. Bot. 38:97–113.
- Stuber, C.W., and M.M. Goodman. 1984. Inheritance, intracellular localization, and genetic variation of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase isozymes in maize. Maydica 29:453–471.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1985. Isozyme variation in the races of maize from Mexico. Am. J. Bot. 72:629–639.
- Emigh, T.H., and M.M. Goodman. 1985. Multivariate analysis in nematode taxonomy. pp. 197–204.
 In: K.R. Barker, C.C. Carter, and J.N. Sasser (eds.), An advanced treatise on Meloidogyne.
 Volume 2. Dept. Plant Pathol., North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh.
- Goodman, M.M. 1985a. Exotic maize germplasm: Status, prospects and remedies. Iowa State J. Res.59:497–527.
- Goodman, M.M. 1985b. Use of tropical and subtropical maize and teosinte germplasm in temperate conditions. pp. 93–105. In: A. Brandolini and F. Salamini (eds.), Breeding strategies for maize production improvement. United Nations Food Agric. Organiz., Firenze, Italy.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1985a. Genetic variability within U.S. maize germplasm. I. Historically important lines. Crop Sci. 25:550–555.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1985b. Genetic variability within U.S. maize germplasm. II. Widely used inbred lines 1970–1979. Crop Sci. 25:681–685.
- Smith, J.S.C., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1985c. Relationships between maize and teosinte of Mexico and Guatemala: Numerical analysis of isozyme data. Econ. Bot. 39:12–24.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1986. Exceptional genetic divergence of Northern Flint corn. Am. J. Bot. 73:64–69.
- Frei, O.M., C.W. Stuber, and M.M. Goodman. 1986a. Use of allozymes as genetic markers for predicting performance in maize single cross hybrids. Crop Sci. 26:37–42.
- Frei, O.M., C.W. Stuber, and M.M. Goodman. 1986b. Yield manipulation from selection on allozyme genotypes in a composite of elite corn lines. Crop Sci, 26:917–921.
- Goodman, M.M. 1986. How should new biogenetic techniques be integrated into current improvement programs? pp. 135–138. In: J.C. Sentz and R.P. Cantrell (eds.), On collaboration toward mutual LDC production objectives. U.S. Universities—CIMMYT Maize Conference Proc., CIMMYT, Mexico City.
- Wendel, J.F., C.W. Stuber, and M. D. Edwards, and M.M. Goodman. 1986. Duplicated chromosome segments in *Zea mays* L.: Further evidence from hexokinase isozymes. Theor. Appl. Gen. 72:178–185.
- Bretting, P.K., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1987. Karyological and isozyme variation in West Indian and allied American mainland races of maize. Am. J. Bot. 74:160–1613.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1987. Patterns of isozyme variation between maize and Mexican annual teosinte. Econ. Bot. 41:234–246.
- Goodman, M.M. 1987a. Gene banks and the world's food supply (Book review). Syst. Bot. 12:449.
- Goodman, M.M. 1987b. History and origin of corn (in Portuguese). pp. 3–38. In: E. Paterniani and G. P. Viegas (eds.), Melhoramento e produção do milho no Brasil. Fundação Cargill, Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

- Goodman, M.M. 1987c. The value of conserving genetic resources (Book review). Am. Scientist 75:204–205.
- Goodman, M.M., and J.S.C. Smith. 1987. Botany (in Portuguese). pp. 39–78 In: E. Paterniani and G. P. Viegas (eds.), Melhoramento e produção do milho no Brasil. Fundação Cargill, Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil.
- Thompson, D.L., R.P. Bergquist, G.A. Payne, D.T. Bowman, and M.M. Goodman. 1987. Inheritance of resistance to gray leaf spot in maize. Crop Sci.27:243–246.
- Castillo-Gonzalez, F., and M.M. Goodman. 1988. Agronomic evaluation of Latin American maize accessions. Crop Sci. 29:853–861.
- Cox, T.S., J.P. Murphy, and M.M. Goodman. 1988. The contribution of exotic germplasm to American agriculture. p. 114–144. In: J. Kloppenburg Jr. (ed.), Seeds and sovereignty. Duke Univ. Press, Durham, NC.
- Doebley, J.F., J.D. Wendel, J.S.C. Smith, C.W. Stuber, and M.M. Goodman. 1988. The origin of Cornbelt maize: The isozyme evidence. Econ, Bot. 42:120–131.
- Goodman, M.M. 1988. The history and evolution of maize. CRC Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 7:197–220.
- Goodman, M.M., and W.L. Brown. 1988. Races of corn. pp. 33–79 In: G.F. Sprague and J.W. Dudley (eds.), Corn and corn improvement—Agronomy Monograph 18. Agron. Soc. Amer, Madison, WI.
- Goodman, M.M., D.L. Thompson, and W.H. Hill. 1988. Registration of NC252, NC254, and NC256. Crop Sci. 28:208.
- Holley, R.N., and M.M. Goodman. 1988a. Stalk quality and stalk rot resistance of tropical hybrid maize derivatives. Plant Dis. 72:321–324.
- Holley, R.N., and M.M. Goodman. 1988b. Yield potential of tropical hybrid corn derivatives. Crop Sci. 28:213–217.
- Stuber, C.W., J.F. Wendel, M.M. Goodman, and J.S.C. Smith. 1988. Techniques and scoring procedures for starch gel electrophoresis of enzymes from maize (*Zea mays* L.). North Carolina Agr. Res. Service Tech. Bul. 286, Raleigh.
- Wendel, J.F., M.M. Goodman, C.W. Stuber, and J.B. Beckett. 1988. New isozyme systems for maize (*Zea mays* L.): Aconitate hydratase, adenylate kinase, NADH dehydrogenase, and shikimate dehydrogenase. Biochem. Gen. 26:421–445.
- Bretting, P.K., and M.M. Goodman. 1989a. Genetic variation in crop plants and management of germplasm collections. pp. 41–54. In: H.T. Stalker and C. Chapman (eds.), Scientific management of germplasm: Characterization, evaluation and enhancement. Internat. Board Plant Genet. Resources Training Courses Lecture Series 2. IBPGR, Rome, and Dep. of Crop Science, North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh.
- Bretting, P.K. and M.M. Goodman. 1989b. Karyotypic variation in Mesoamerican races of maize and its systematic significance. Econ. Bot. 43:107–124.
- Goodman, M.M., W.H. Hill, and G.A. Payne. 1989. Registration of NC258 and NC262. Crop Sci. 29:1334.
- Holley, R.N., and M.M. Goodman. 1989. New sources of resistance to southern corn leaf blight from tropical maize derivatives. Plant Dis. 73:562–564.
- Holley, R.N., P.B. Hamilton, and M.M. Goodman. 1989. Evaluation of tropical maize germplasm for resistance to kernel colonization by *Fusarium moniliforme*. Plant Dis. 73:578–580.
- Sisco, P.H., M.M. Goodman, and D.L. Thompson. 1989. Registration of NC264 parental line of maize. Crop Sci. 29:248.
- Wendel, J.F., C.W. Stuber, M.M. Goodman, and J.B. Beckett. 1989. Duplicated plastid and triplicated cytosolic isozymes of triose phosphate isomerase in maize. J. Hered. 80:218–228.

- Bretting, P.K., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1990. Isozymatic variation in Guatemalan races of maize. Am. J. Bot, 77:211–225.
- Goodman, M.M. 1990a. Genetic and germplasm stocks worth conserving. J. Hered. 81:11–16.
- Goodman, M.M. 1990b. What genetic and germplasm stocks are worth conserving? pp. 1–9.
 In: P.E. McGuire and C.O. Qualset (eds.), Genetic resources at risk: Scientific issues, technologies, and funding policies. Univ. Calif. Genet. Resources Conserv. Prog. Report 5. Oakland.
- Goodman, M.M., F. Castillo-Gonzalez, and R.N. Holley. 1990. U.S. maize germplasm: Origins, limitations, and alternatives. pp. 130–148. In: Proc. Global Maize Germplasm Bank Workshop. CIMMYT, El Batan, Mexico.
- Goodman, M.M., F. Castillo-Gonzalez, and J. Moreno. 1990. Choosing and using exotic maize germplasm. Illinois Corn Breeders School Proc. 26:148–171. Champaign, IL.
- Carson, M.L., M.M. Goodman, and D.A. Glawe. 1991. *Phaeosphaeria* leaf spot of maize in Florida. Plant Dis. 75:968.
- Goodman, M.M. 1991. Challenges and perspectives for future plant breeding: Uses of germplasm and molecular genetics. Revista Fitotecnia Mexicana 14:11–22.
- Goodman, M.M., and J.M. Hernandez-Casillas. 1991. Latin American maize collections: A case for urgent action. Diversity 7:87–88.
- Goodman, M.M., and F. Castillo-Gonzalez. 1991. Germplasm: Politics and realities. FORUM for Applied Research and Public Policy 6:74–85.
- Brown, W.L., and H.F. Robinson. 1992. The status, evolutionary significance and history of Eastern Cherokee maize. Maydica 37: 29–39. (Prepared for publication by M.M. Goodman after disability/death of the authors.)
- Goodman, M.M. 1992. Choosing and using tropical corn germplasm. Annu. Corn & Sorghum Res. Conf. Proc. 47:47–64.
- Sanchez G., J.M., and M.M. Goodman. 1992a. Relationships among Mexican and some North and South American races of maize. Maydica 37:41–51.
- Sanchez G., J.M., and M.M. Goodman. 1992b. Relationships among the Mexican races of maize. Econ. Bot. 46:72–85.
- Bubeck, D.M., M.M. Goodman, W.D. Beavis, and D. Grant. 1993. Quantitative trait loci controlling resistance to gray leaf spot in maize. Crop Sci. 33:838–847.
- Goodman, M.M. 1993. Choosing germplasm for breeding program success. pp. 33–45. In: M.B. Callaway and C.A. Francis (eds.), Crop improvement for sustainable agriculture. Univ. Nebr. Press, Lincoln.
- Phillips, T.D., J.P. Murphy, and M.M. Goodman. 1993. Isozyme variation in germplasm accessions of the wild oat *Avena sterilis* L. Theor. Appl. Gen. 83:54–64.
- Sanchez G., J.M., M.M. Goodman, and J.O. Rawlings. 1993. Appropriate characters for racial classification in maize. Econ. Bot. 47:44–59.
- Sisco, P.H., R.E. Cannon, and M.M. Goodman. 1993. Catalase-3 (*Cat 3*) gene mapped to the long arm of chromosome 4 in maize. (*Zea mays* L.). J. Hered. 84:133–135.
- Goodman, M.M. 1994a. Evolution within maize: Relationships among germplasm sources, temperate breeding strategies, and germplasm use. Memories of the international maize symposium (Maize in the decade of the 90s) 1:119–130. Secretaria de Agricultura y Recursos Hidraulicos, Guadalajara, Jal., Mexico.
- Goodman, M.M. 1994b. Racial sampling and identification in maize. Quantitative genetic variation versus environmental effects. pp. 89–100. In: S. Johannessen and C.A. Hastorf (eds.), Corn and culture in the prehistoric New World. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
- Goodman, M.M. 1995. Maize. pp. 192–202. In: J. Smartt and N. W. Simmonds (eds.), Evolution of crop plants, 2nd ed. Longman, Essex, UK.

- Goodman, M.M., and J. B. Holland. 1995. LAMP-type studies based upon the typical racial accessions. pp. 23–45. In: R. Sevilla and S.A. Eberhart (eds.), Latin Am. Maize Project (LAMP) Meeting V. Fort Collins, CO.
- Holland, J.B., and M.M. Goodman. 1995. Combining ability of tropical maize accessions with U.S. germplasm. Crop Sci. 35:767–773.
- Uhr, D.V., and M.M. Goodman. 1995a. Temperate maize inbreds from tropical germplasm.
 I. Testcross yield trials. Crop Sci. 35:779–784.
- Uhr, D.V., and M.M. Goodman. 1995b. Temperate maize inbreds from tropical germplasm. II. Inbred yield trials. Crop Sci. 35:785–790.
- Wilkes, H.G., and M.M. Goodman. 1995. Mystery and missing links: The origin of maize. pp. 1–5. In: S. Taba (ed.), Maize genetic resources. CIMMYT, Mexico, D.F.
- Williams, C.G., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1995. Comparative recombination among Zea mays L. inbreds, wide crosses and interspecific hybrids. Genetics 141:1573–1581.
- Castillo Gonzalez, F., and M.M. Goodman. 1996. Investigations done on improved maize-landrace gene flow. pp. 72–80. In: J.A. Serratos, M.C. Wilcox, and F. Castillo (eds.), Members' forum on gene flow between landrace maize, improved maize, and teosinte. INIFAP, CIMMYT, and CNBA, El Batan, Mexico.
- Hanson, M.A., B.S. Gaut, A.O. Stec, S.I. Fuerstenberg, M.M. Goodman, E.H. Coe, and J.F. Doebley. 1996. Evolution of anthocyanin biosynthesis in maize kernels: The role of regulatory and enzymatic loci. Genetics 143:1395–1407.
- Holland, J.B., M.M. Goodman, and F. Castillo-Gonzalez. 1996. Identification of agronomically superior Latin American maize accessions via multi-stage evaluations. Crop Sci. 36:778–784.
- Hawbaker, M.S., W.H. Hill, and M.M. Goodman. 1997. Application of recurrent selection for low moisture content in tropical maize (*Zea mays L.*). I. Testcross yield trials. Crop Sci. 37:1650–1655.
- Goodman, M.M. 1998. Research policies thwart potential payoff of exotic germplasm. Maize diversity and maize breeding. Diversity 14:30–35.
- Holland, J.B., D.V. Uhr, D. Jeffers, and M.M. Goodman. 1998. Inheritance of resistance to southern corn rust in tropical-by-corn-belt maize populations. Theor. Appl. Gen. 96: 232–241.
- Senior, M.L., J.P. Murphy, M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1998. Utility of SSRs for determining genetic similarities and relationships in maize using an agarose gel system. Crop Sci. 38:1088–1098.
- Goodman, M.M. 1999a. Broadening the genetic diversity in breeding by use of exotic germplasm. pp. 139–148. In: J. G. Coors and S. Pandey (eds.), Genetics and exploitation of heterosis in crops. Crop Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, WI.
- Goodman, M.M. 1999b. Maize diversity and maize breeding. pp. 1–14. In: F. Zavala G., F. Rincon S., P. Ramirez V., F. Castillo G., J. Sahagun C., and J.A. Cuevas S. (eds.), Recursos geneticos para el mejoramiento de los cultivos. Sociedad Mex. Fitogen., Montecillo, Mexico.
- Ji, Y., D.M. Stelly, M. De Donato, M.M. Goodman, and C.G. Williams. 1999. A candidate recombination modifier gene for Zea mays L. Genetics 151:821–830.
- Ruiz C., J.A., J.J. Sanchez G., and M.M. Goodman. 1999. Base temperature and heat unit requirement of 49 Mexican maize races. Maydica 43:277–282.
- Tallury, S.P., and M.M. Goodman. 1999. Experimental evaluation of the potential of tropical germplasm for temperate maize improvement. Theor. Appl. Gen. 98:54–61.
- Goodman, M.M., and M.L. Carson. 2000. Myth vs. reality: Corn breeding, exotics, and genetic engineering. Corn. Sorghum Seed Res. Conf. Proc. 55:149–172.
- Sanchez G., J.J., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 2000a. Isozymatic and morphological diversity in the races of maize of Mexico. Econ. Bot. 54:43–59

- Sanchez G., J.J., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 2000b. Isozymatic diversity in the races of maize of the Americas. Maydica 45:185–203.
- Herrera C., B.E., F. Castillo G., J.J. Sanchez G., R. Ortega P., and M.M. Goodman. 2001. Caracteres morfologicos para valorar la diversidad entre poblaciones de maiz en uma region: Caso la raza Chalqueño. Rev. Fitotecnia Mexicana 23:335–355.
- Remington, D.L., J. Thornsberry, L. Matsuoka, L. Wilson, S. Rhinehart-Whitt, J. Doebley, S. Kresovitch, M.M. Goodman, and E.S. Buckler IV. 2001. Structure of linkage disequilibrium and phenotypic associations in the maize genome. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:11479–11484.
- Tallury, S.P., and M.M. Goodman. 2001. The state of the use of maize genetic diversity in the USA and sub-Saharan Africa. pp. 159–180. In: H.D. Cooper, C. Spillane, and T. Hodgkin (eds.), Broadening the genetic bases of crop production. CAB Publ., Wallingford, UK.
- Thornsberry, J.M., M.M. Goodman, J. Doebley, S. Kresovich, D. Nielsen, and E.S. Buckler IV. 2001. *Dwarf8* polymorphisms associated with variation in flowering time. Nature Genetics 28:286–289.
- Carson, M.L., M.M. Goodman, and S.M. Williamson. 2002. Variation in aggressiveness among isolates of *Cercospora* from maize as a potential cause of genotype × environment interaction in gray leaf spot trials. Plant Dis. 86:1089–1093.
- Castillo, F., E. Herrera, V. Moreno, J. Romero, I. Nunez, V. Ballesteros, J. Sanchez, R. Ortega, P. Ramirez, A. Kato, M.M. Goodman, M.E. Smith, A. Ramirez, C.O. Qualset, and F. Espejel. 2002. Potential of local diversity for the improvement of maize production in Mexico. pp. 55–56. In: J.L. Chavez-Servia, L.M. Arias-Reyes, D.I. Jarvis, J. Tuxill, D. Lope-Alzina, and C. Eyzaguirre (eds.), Proc. Symp. Managing Crop Diversity in Traditional Ecosystems. Feb. 13–16, 2002. Merida, Mexico. IPGRI, Rome.
- Goodman, M.M. 2002. New sources of germplasm: Lines, transgenes, and breeders. pp. 28–41. In: J.M. Martinez R., F. Rincon S, and G. Martinez G. (eds.), Mem. Congresso Nacional de Fitogenetica. Univ. Autonimo Agr. Antonio Narro, Saltillo, Coah., Mexico.
- Matsuoka, Y., S.E. Mitchell, S. Kresovich, M. Goodman, and J. Doebley. 2002. Microsatellites in *Zea*—variability, patterns of mutations, and use for evolutionary studies. Theor. Appl. Genet. 104:436–450.
- Matsuoka, Y., Y. Vigouroux, M.M. Goodman, J. Sanchez G., E. Buckler, and J. Doebley. 2002. A single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 99:6080–6084.
- Holland, J.B., and M.M. Goodman. 2003. Combining ability of a tropical-derived maize population with isogenic Bt and conventional testers. Maydica 48:1–8.
- Lewis, R.S., and M.M. Goodman. 2003. Incorporation of tropical maize germplasm into inbred lines derived from temperate x temperate-adapted tropical line crosses: Agronomic and molecular assessment. Theor. Appl. Genet. 107:798–805.
- Liu, K., M. Goodman, S. Muse, J.S. Smith, E. Buckler, and J. Doebley. 2003. Genetic structure and diversity among maize inbred lines as inferred from DNA microsatellites. Genetics 165:2117–2128.
- Tarter, J.A., M.M. Goodman, and J.B. Holland. 2003. Testcross performance of semiexotic inbred lines derived from Latin American maize accessions. Crop Sci. 43:2272–2278.
- Goodman, M.M. 2004a. Developing temperate inbreds using tropical maize germplasm: Rationale, results, conclusions. Maydica 49:209–219.
- Goodman, M.M. 2004b. Plant breeding requirements for applied molecular biology. Crop Sci. 44:1913–1914.
- Tarter, J.A., M.M. Goodman, and J.B. Holland. 2004. Recovery of exotic alleles in semiexotic maize inbreds derived from crosses between Latin American accessions and a temperate line. Theor. Appl. Genet 109:609–617.

- Wilson, L.M., S.R. Whitt, A.M. Ibañez, T.R. Rocheford, M.M. Goodman, and E.S. Buckler IV. 2004. Dissection of maize kernel composition and starch production by candidate gene association. Plant Cell 16:2719–2733.
- Flint-Garcia, S.A., A.C. Thuillet, J. Yu, G. Pressoir, S.M. Romero, S.E. Mitchell, J. Doebley, S. Kresovich, M.M. Goodman, and E.S. Buckler. 2005. Maize association population: A high-resolution platform for quantitative trait locus dissection. Plant J. 44:1054–1064.
- Goodman, M.M. 2005. Broadening the U.S. maize germplasm base. Maydica 50:203–214. Balint-Kurti, P.J., M.D. Krakowsky, M.P. Jines, L.A. Robertson, T.L. Molnar, M.M. Goodman, and J.B. Holland. 2006. Identification of quantitative trait loci for resistance to southern leaf blight and days to anthesis in a maize recombinant inbred line population. Phytopathology 96:1067–1071.
- Buckler IV, E.S., M.M. Goodman, T.P. Holtsford, J.F. Doebley, and J. Sanchez G. 2006. Phylogeography of the wild subspecies of *Zea mays*. Maydica 51:123–134.
- Carson, M.L., and M.M. Goodman. 2006. Pathogenicity, aggressiveness, and virulence of three species of *Cercospora* associated with gray leaf spot of maize. Maydica 51:89–92.
- Hawbaker, M.S., and M.M. Goodman. 2006. Resistance of temperately adapted tropical inbred lines and testcrosses to three important maize pathogens. Maydica 51:135–139.
- Nelson, P.T., M.P. Jines, and M.M. Goodman. 2006. Selecting among available, elite tropical maize inbreds for use in long-term temperate breeding. Maydica 51:255–262.
- Sanchez G, J.J., M.M. Goodman, R.M. Bird, and C.W. Stuber. 2006. Isozyme and morphological variation in maize of five Andean countries. Maydica 51:25–42.
- Thompson, D.L., and M.M. Goodman. 2006. Increasing kernel density for two inbred lines of maize. Crop Sci 46:2179–2182.
- Zhao, W., P. Canaran, R. Jurkuta, T. Fulton, J. Glaubitz, E. Buckler, J. Doebley, B. Gaut, M. Goodman, J. Holland, S. Kresovich, M. McMullen, L. Stein, and D. Ware. 2006. Panzea: A database and resource for molecular and functional diversity in the maize genome. Nucleic Acids Res. 34:D752–D757.
- Jines, M.P., P. Balint-Kurti, L.A. Robertson-Hoyt, T. Molnar, J.B. Holland, and M.M. Goodman. 2007. Mapping resistance to Southern rust in a tropical by temperate maize recombinant inbred topcross population. Theor. Appl. Genet. 114:659–667.
- Nelson, P.T., N.D. Coles, J.B. Holland, D.M. Bubeck, S. Smith, and M.M. Goodman. 2008. Molecular characterization of maize inbreds with expired U.S. plant variety protection. Crop Sci 48:1673–1685.
- Nelson, P.T., and M.M. Goodman. 2008. Evaluation of elite exotic maize inbreds for use in temperate breeding. Crop Sci. 48:85–92.
- Sanchez G., J.J., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 2007. Racial diversity of maize in Brazil and adjacent areas. Maydica 52:13–30.
- Vigouroux, Y., J.C. Glaubitz, Y. Matsuoka, M.M. Goodman, J. Sanchez G., and J. Doebley. 2008. Population structure and genetic diversity of New World maize races assessed by DNA microsatellites. Am. J. Bot. 95:1240–1253.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brown, W.L. 1960. Races of maize in the West Indies. Nat. Acad. Sci., National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- Brown, W.L. 1986. Letter to Clark Cockerham, dated March 3, 1986. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC 309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.

- Buckler, E.S., B.S. Gaut, and M.D. McMullen. 2006. Molecular and functional diversity of maize. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 9:172–176.
- Cockerham, C.C. 1986. Nomination of Major Goodman for O. Max Gardner Award, dated December 17, 1986. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.
- Cockerham, C.C., and B.S. Weir. 1988. Major Goodman: The maize expert. Paper read at Reynolds Award Luncheon, Raleigh, NC, October 28, 1988. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.
- Comstock, R.E. and H.F. Robinson. 1952. Estimation of average dominance of genes. pp. 494–516. In: J. W. Gowen (ed.). Heterosis. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames.
- Doebley, J. 1990. Molecular evidence and the evolution of maize. Econ. Bot. 44:6–27.
- Doebley, J.F., M.M. Goodman, and C.W. Stuber. 1984. Isoenzymatic variation in Zea (Gramineae). Syst. Bot. 9:203–218.
- Edwards, M.D., C W. Stuber, and J.F. Wendel. 1987. Molecular-marker-facilitated investigations of quantitative-trait loci in maize. I. Numbers, genomic distribution, and types of gene action. Genetics 116:113–125.
- Goodman, M.M. 1987. Response to Oliver Max Gardner Award. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC 309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center Raleigh, NC.
- Jines, M.P. 2007. Enhancing genetic gain in maize with tropical germplasm, QTL mapping, and spatial methodologies. M.S. Thesis, North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh, NC.
- Mangelsdorf, P.C. 1983. Letter to Clark Cockerham dated February 21, 1983. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.
- Mangelsdorf, P.C. 1987. Letter to O. Max Gardner Award Committee dated January 10, 1987. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.
- $\label{eq:mcclintock} McClintock, B., T.A.\ Kato, and\ A.\ Blumenshein.\ 1981.\ Chromosome\ constitution\ of\ races\ of\ maize.\ Colegio\ de\ Postgraduados,\ Chapingo,\ Mexico.$
- Molnár, T.L. 2002. Evaluation of 50% tropical germplasm maize lines derived by two selection methods. Ph.D. thesis. North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh, NC.
- Nelson, P.T. 2009. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of maize germplasm resources: ex-pvpa inbreds, ncsu inbreds, and elite exotic inbreds. Ph.D. thesis. North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh, NC. (www.lib.ncsu.edu/theses/available/etd-12092008-114757/).
- Pollak, L.M., and W. Salhuana. 2001. The germplasm enhancement of maize (GEM) project: private and public sector collaboration. pp. 319–329. In: H.D. Cooper, C. Spillane, and T. Hodgkin (eds.), Broadening the genetic bases of crop production. CAB Publ., Wallingford, UK.
- Ramirez, E., R., D.H. Timothy, E. Diaz B., U.J. Grant, G.E. Nicholson Calle, E. Anderson, and W.L. Brown. 1961. Races of maize in Bolivia. Publ. 747. National Academy of Sciences—National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- Salhuana, W., L. M. Pollak, M. Ferrer, O. Paratori, and G. Vivo. 1998. Breeding potential of maize accessions from Argentina, Chile, USA, and Uruguay. Crop Sci. 38:866–872.
- Schwartz, D. 1960. Genetic studies on mutant enzymes in maize: Synthesis of hybrid enzymes by heterozygotes. Proc. Nat. Acad. (USA) 46:1210–1215.
- Stuber, C.W. 1986. Letter to Clark Cockerham dated Dec. 17, 1986. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.

- Stuber, C.W. 1992. Biochemical and molecular markers in plant breeding. Plant Breed Rev. 9:37–61.
- Timothy, D.H., B. Pena V., R. Ramirez E., W.L. Brown, and E. Anderson. 1961. Races of maize in Chile. National Academy of Science—National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- Wallace, H.A., and W.L. Brown. 1988. Corn and its early fathers, rev. ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames.
- Weir, B.S. 1986. Letter to Clark Cockerham dated Dec. 16, 1986. C. Clark Cockerham Papers, 1953–1996. Collection Number MC309. North Carolina State Univ. Libraries Special Collections Research Center, Raleigh, NC.
- Wellhausen, E.J., L.M. Roberts, E. Hernández Xolocotzi, and P.C. Manglesdorf. 1952. Races of maize in Mexico. Their origin, characteristics and distribution. Bussey Inst., Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.